I. Background Information
1. Program Assessed
Program name: APNURS-Associate in Applied Science-RN Program
Division: Health Sciences Department: Nursing
Type of Award: A.A. A.S. XA.A.S.  Cert. Adv. Cert. Post-Assoc. Cert. Cert. Cert. of Completion
2. Semester assessment was administered (check one):  X Fall 2015 covering April 01, 2012 through December 31, 2015  Winter 20 Spring/Summer 20
3. Assessment tool(s) used (check all that apply):  Portfolio  X Standardized test: ATI RN Comprehensive Predictor  X Other external certification/licensure exam (please describe): 2010 NCLEX-RN and 2013  Transfer follow-up  Externally evaluated performance or exhibit  Externally evaluation of job performance (internship, co-op, placement, other)  Capstone experience (please describe):  Other (please describe):
<ul> <li>4. Have any of these tools been used before?</li> <li>X Yes (if yes, identify which tool) ATI Predictor &amp; NCLEX-RN</li> <li>☐ No</li> </ul>
If yes, has this tool been altered since its last administration? If so, briefly describe changes made.
Yes: The NCLEX-RN administered to all WCC Nursing graduates was updated in April of 2013 with a change in logits from (-0.16) to (0.00). This increased degree of difficulty by raising the passing level was the most significant change in greater than ten years. There was a significant decline nationally and at the state level by the end of 2013 due to the change in logits. (see below)
5. Indicate the number of students' assessed/total number of students enrolled in the course.
ATI Predictor Total assessed over eleven semesters=265 NCLEX-RN first time test takers for the period of April 1, 2012-December 31, 2015=265
6. Describe how students were selected for the assessment.  All upon completion of all nursing courses.
a. Describe your sampling method.  All students in 5 <sup>th</sup> Semester who met the graduation requirements.  b. Describe the population assessed (e.g. graduating students, alumni, entering students, continuing students)?
Graduating students

#### II. Results

1. **Standard of Success:** ATI RN Comprehensive Predictor test: At least 75% of students will score at the 90% probability NCLEX-RN exam success.

**Actual results:** Cohort averages ranged from 38.9% to 61.8% and the benchmark was not met for any cohort.

**Standard of Success**: NCLEX-RN licensure exam: 85% of students will pass on their first attempt.

04/01/12-12/31/12=35 out of 41 students passed= 85.36 % passed

01/01/13-12/31/13=75 out of 89 students passed=84.37% passed

01/01/14-12/31/14=61 out of 75 students passed=81.33% passed

**Note:** For years 2012, 2013, & 2014 we met the national mean of 85% or higher with of mean of 85.33%. This is what is required by our national accrediting body ACEN.

01/01/15-12/31/15=48 out of 60 students passed=80% passed

**Note:** For year 2015 our national mean decreased to 83% when averaging in the last three years of first-time NCLEX pass rates.

Actual results: One year out of the four years met the yearly benchmark of 85%. However our accrediting body ACEN requires us to have a three year mean that is at the same level as the national three year mean or above. The three year mean for WCC's nursing program for years 2012, 2013, and 2014 was 85.33% while the national mean for those three years was 85%. Only after receiving our 2015 results did we fall slightly below the three year national mean for years 2013, 2014, & 2015 which was 83%. The national mean for those same years is 83.12%

Interesting to note that the three years when benchmark was not met, a new NCLEX test plan was released (see note above concerning the significant change in logits).

2. State each outcome (verbatim) from the Program Assessment Planning or Program Proposal form for the program that was assessed.

**ATI RN Comprehensive Predictor test:** At least 75% of students will score at the 90% probability NCLEX-RN exam success.

NCLEX-RN licensure exam: 85% of students will pass on their first attempt.

3. Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected during the program assessment, demonstrating the extent to which students are achieving each of the learning outcomes listed above.

Percent of WCC Nursing Students with ATIRN Comprehensive Predictor Scores ≥ 90 percent probability and Percent of WCC Nursing Students with NCLEX-RN licensure exam ≥ 85 percent 85%

	F12	2013	2014	2015
NAMEOFTEST	(V2010	(v2013)	(v2013)	(v2013)

A SOCIETY AND THE STATE OF THE				
RN Comprehensive Predictor	61.8%	46.25%	45.64%	61.8%
NCLEX Results by cohort	90.48%	84.27%	81.33%	80%

- 4. For each outcome assessed, indicate the standard of success used, and the percentage of students who achieved that level of success. Please attach the rubric/scoring guide used for the assessment (as a separate document). (see below)
  See Nursing Program Outcomes Assessment attached.
- 5. Describe the areas of strength and weakness in students' achievement of the learning outcomes shown in assessment results.

# Strengths:

- 1) The ATI RN Comprehensive Predictor score showed significant improvement in 2015 as compared to the two previous years. Some key changes occurred that most likely contributed to these results. First, a large part of this cohort fell under the new TEAS admission requirements that were changed Fall 2011. Previous classes included a majority of accepted students who were grandfathered in under the old TEAS requirements. Second, this improvement corresponded to a change in our program's ATI policy effective Winter of 2015. Namely, students' ATI test results comprise three percent (3%) of each nursing theory course's final grade. This change provided an incentive to students to perform well on all ATI tests.
- 2) The expected level of achievement is that the NCLEX pass rate on first attempt is ≥85 percent or at or above the national mean. We have met the 3-year mean of 85 percent for the licensure exam pass rate from 2012-2014 despite the increase in the degree of difficulty. This is at the national mean for the same 3-year period which is 85 percent. Interesting to note that the pass rate declines whenever a new NCLEX test plan is released such as in 2010 and then again in 2013.

#### Weaknesses:

- 1) The expected level of achievement (ELA) of 90 percent probability of NCLEX success was selected based on ATI's statistical analysis. Specifically, if graduates achieve this score, they have a high probability of passing on their first attempt. However, based on recent trends in NCLEX pass rates, the nursing faculty are considering revising the ELA for the ATI Comprehensive Predictor, as well as looking at content-specific benchmarks.
- 2) Following the most recent revision to the NCLEX-RN test plan, nursing faculty noted a decline in the NCLEX pass rate for a two- year period for 2013 and 2014. While the passing mean remained above the national average, it did not meet the requirements of the Michigan Board of Nursing (MBON). We received a request from the MBON for an NCLEX Improvement Plan. This plan was approved by the MBON on November 10, 2015. (please improvement plan attached).

The NCLEX pass rate was 84.27 percent for 2013 graduates and 81.33% for 2014 graduates. We just received on January 29, 2016 our 2015 NCLEX results from the National Councils of State Boards of Nursing. The first time pass rate for the year declined to 80%. The decline was recognized early in Fall 2014, and an adhoc First Time Pass NCLEX-RN Improvement Committee was formed. The Committee met on November 10, 2014 in response to the September 2014 quarterly report for MBON. The goals of the committee were as follows:

- a) Improve first time pass rates.
- b) Identify students at risk early in the program.
- c) Establish criteria for a remediation process.

#### III. Changes influenced by assessment results

- 1. If weaknesses were found (see above) or students did not meet expectations, describe the action that will be taken to address these weaknesses.
  - 1) Please see detailed NCLEX-RN Improvement Plan and Curricular Changes attached.
  - 2) We have already included an NCLEX review course starting in Fall of 2015 in 5<sup>th</sup> semester.
  - 3) We have required the ATI Predictor be taken prior to starting Semester 5 courses and then again at the end of the program and assess for improvement.
  - 4) Every nursing theory course is now required to have between 70-80 percent of their course grade come from exams.
- 2. Identify any other intended changes that will be instituted based on results of this assessment activity (check all that apply). Describe changes and give rationale for change.
  - a.X Outcomes/assessments from Program Assessment Planning or Program Proposal form:
    - Recommend consultation with an outside curriculum/nursing program expert to evaluate/align our nursing curriculum (Program Outcomes & Student Learning Outcomes) based on Quality and Safety Education for Nurses (QSEN), the Institute of Medicine (IOM) and the National League for Nursing (NLN) recommendations.

# b. X Program Curriculum:

- X course sequencing: recommend removing NUR 115 from 2<sup>nd</sup> semester status and require all Semester One students take prior to taking Semester 2 courses Note: (this is currently only under discussion by nursing faculty).
- X course deletion: Omit the requirement but keep it as strongly recommended: HSC 101 Healthcare Terminology and Math 167 (currently does not meet MTA requirements) and Math 169 be omitted as course pre-requisites to decrease credit hours.
- X course addition: Require Math 160 Basic Statistics as only required Math course.
- X changes to existing program courses (specify): Integrate an NCLEX-RN Review Course (NUR 039) 15 hours (one credit) effective Fall 2016 in 5<sup>th</sup> Semester. Decrease NUR 283 from 3 credits to 2 credits effective Fall 2016.

X other (specify): Reduce overall program requirements to 60-64 total credits and align with Eastern Michigan University (EMU's) Bachelor of Science in Nursing program requirements.

3. What is the timeline for implementing these actions? Fall 2016 to Fall 2018.

# IV. Future plans

1. Describe the extent to which the assessment tools used were effective in measuring student achievement of learning outcomes for this program.

**NLCEX-RN first-time pass rates** are an effective assessment tool however there is a need for the MBON to agree to continue to release individual student names and also provide quarterly reports instead of yearly reports so curricular changes could be made in a timely manner.

**Discussion among all nursing faculty** about using the ATI Comprehensive Predictor as part of the NCLEX Review Course in 5<sup>th</sup> semester and removing this as a program outcome.

- 2. If the assessment tools were not effective, describe the changes that will be made for future assessments. (see above).
- Which outcomes from Program Assessment Planning or Program Proposal form have been addressed in this report?
   All \_\_X\_ Selected \_\_
   If "All", provide the report date for the next full review: Fall of 2018.

   If "Selected", provide the report date for remaining outcomes:

If "Selected", provide the report date for remaining outcomes:	
·	
Submitted by:	
$\sim 10^{-1}$	
Name: Mary Burns-Coral  Print/Signature	_ Date: 01/29/16
Department Chair: Mary Burns-Coral	_ Date: 01/29/16
Dean: Print/Signature Print/Signature Print/Signature Date:	2/2/16
, 6	257
Please return completed form to the Office of Curriculum & Assessment, SC 2	. 7 / .

1.	Program:         Program name: APNURS - Associate in Applied Science: RN Program         Division: MSH       Department: NHSD         Type of Award: □ A.A. □ A.S □ A.S.       □ A.A.S.         □ Cert. □ Adv. Cert. □ Post-Assoc. Cert. □ Cert. of Completion			
II.	Semester assessment was administered (check one):  Fall 20 Winter 20 Spring/Summer 2012 - covering Fall 2007 through Winter 2012			
III.	I. Assessment tool(s) used (check all that apply):  Please attach a copy of the tool(s) and scoring rubric(s) used.  Portfolio  Standardized test  Other external certification/licensure exam (please describe): NCLEX-RN  Graduate Survey  Employer Survey  Advisory Committee Survey  Transfer follow-up  Externally evaluated performance or exhibit  Externally evaluation of job performance (internship, co-op, placement, other)  Capstone experience (please describe):  Other (please describe):  Have any of these tools been used before?  Yes (if yes, identify which tool:)  No  If yes, has this tool been altered since its last administration? If so, briefly describe changes made.			
IV.	Please describe how and in which course sections (if applicable) this assessment was administered.  ALL upon completion of all NUR courses			
V.	How many students were assessed?  1. RN Comprehensive Predictor ATI test - taken by 399 in 10 semesters:  2. NCLEX-RN exam (first-time takers) for the period of July 1, 2007 - through April 30, 2012 = 389			
VI.	What student population was assessed (e.g. graduating students, alumni, entering students, continuing students)?  Graduating students			
Resu	ılts			

I. Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected for the program assessed, demonstrating to what extent students are achieving the learning outcomes for that program as found in the program proposal/approval form (please attach). Please attach any data collected.



1. Standard of Success - RN Comprehensive Predictor ATI test - 75 percent of students will score a 90% probability level on the first attempt:

Actual results - only one (1) graduating class (i.e. Winter 2009) out of 10 met standard of success (see attached). The average percentage over ten (10) semesters from Fall 2007 through Winter 2012, was 68.9% (275 out of 399).

- 2. Standard of Success NCLEX-RN licensure exam 85 percent of students will pass on the first attempt:
- 7/1/07-6/30/08 = 68 out of 89 (76.4%)
- 7/1/08-6/30/09 = 71 out of 79 (89.9%)
- 7/1/09-6/30/10 = 84 out of 90 (93.3%)
- 7/1/10-6/30/11 = 52 out of 65 (80%)
- 7/1/11-3/31/12 = 63 out of 66 (95.4%) -- note: quarter from 4/1/12-6/30/12 not yet received.

Actual results - 3 of the last 5 years met the benchmark. It is interesting to note the the years that didn't meet the benchmark were when a new NCLEX-RN test plan was released. Overall, the benchmark was met for the past 5 years to date (i.e. 337 out of 389 first-time testers or 86.6%).

II.	Based on the outcomes outlined in the program proposal document, did students meet expectations of the learning outcomes of that program?  Yes  No  Percentage of students meeting outcomes: See previous section; met one (1) of two (2) measures for am - pass rate for licensure exam
	What areas of strength and weakness in students' achievement of the learning outcomes of the assessed program did assessment results show?  Strengths: Met NCLEX-RN first-time pass rates; some quarters showed pass rates at or exceeding ate and National levels.
conte	Weaknesses: 1st time RN Comprehensie Predictor outcome not met; did not look at specific ent areas to discover a pattern of where students were not performing well.
I.	ges influenced by assessment results  If weaknesses were found (see III above) or students did not meet expectations, what action will be taken to address this?  Conduct trend analysis of the body system areas on the RN Comprehensive Predictor exam wrify content areas needing more emphasis or improvement in NUR courses.
II.	Identify any other intended changes that will be instituted based on results of this assessment activity (check all that apply). Describe changes and give rationale for change.  Curriculum: Since NCLEX-RN test plan is updated every 3 years, this was done in 2010.
	Course sequencing:
	Course or master syllabi: ALL master syllabi were updated - course content changes made as a reflection of 2010 test plan and ATI updated materials and module revisions.
	☐ Teaching methods: The number of COD offerings of NUR courses is increasing. This method truction will provide students with opportunities for flexible participation, individualized review of e concepts, and use of alternative learning strategies.
to ado	☑ Other: NUR 100 inactivated; content folded into revised NUR102/106 course sequence with onal credit added; one credit added to new medical-surgical nursing course sequence in Semester 5 due ditional content in that area of nursing (NUR 283/284); course names changes to reflect content ses: Med-Surg Nursing I, II, III; leadership content to be integrated across curriculum starting in NUR 106
Plans I.	Was the assessment tool used effective in measuring student achievement of learning objectives for this program? If not, why?

Office of Curriculum & Assessment

Yes, however, the RN Comprehensive Predictor was not as predictable as expected due to the

differences in meeting the standard of success for both tools (i.e. HIGH NCLEX-RN exam pass rate and LOW % of students meeting the 90% probability of success on RN Comprehensive Predictor).

If the assessment tool was not effective, what changes will be made in future assessments? Might consider if there is any statistical significance between a certain % probability and whether the graduate will pass the NCLEX-RN on the first attempt.

Submitted by:

Name: Storii A. Velaide Date: 6/26/2012

Department Chair: Storii A. Velaide Date: 6/26/2012

Please return completed form to the Office of Curriculum & Assessment, SC 247.

a 5

I.	Program: Program name: APNURS - Associate in Applied Science - RN Program
	Division: HAT  Type of Award:  A.A.  Cert.  Department: NHSD  A.A.S.  Adv. Cert.  Post-Assoc. Cert.  Cert. Cert. Cert.
II.	Semester assessment was administered (check one):  Fall 20 Winter 20 Spring/Summer 2007
III.	Assessment tool(s) used (check all that apply):  Please attach a copy of the tool(s) and scoring rubric(s) used.  Portfolio  Standardized test – ATI RN Comprehensive Predictor  Other external certification/licensure exam (please describe): NCLEX-RN  Graduate Survey  Employer Survey  Advisory Committee Survey  Transfer follow-up  Externally evaluated performance or exhibit  Externally evaluation of job performance (internship, co-op, placement, other)  Capstone experience (please describe):  Other (please describe):  Have any of these tools been used before?  Yes (if yes, identify which tool:) NCLEX-RN test results
	If yes, has this tool been altered since its last administration? If so, briefly describe changes made.  No
IV.	Please describe how and in which course sections (if applicable) this assessment was administered.  Following completion of all APNURS program requirements
V.	How many students were assessed?  1. F06 grads (37) + W07/Sp07 grads (40) = 77  2. # of first-time test takers from 7/1/06 to 6/30/07 = 76
VI.	What student population was assessed (e.g. graduating students, alumni, entering students, continuing students)?  Graduates
D.	1.

#### Results

- I. Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected for the program assessed, demonstrating to what extent students are achieving the learning outcomes for that program as found in the program proposal/approval form (please attach). Please attach any data collected.
  - a. RN Comprehensive Predictor results based on Group Performance Profiles for F06, W07, and Sp07 graduates (attached), determined:
    - 1. individual scores overall that achieved a score at the 90 percent probability of NCLEX-RN success (i.e. 62.2%)

II.

- 2. group scores in 28 areas, along with and by major content area.
- b. Quarterly results of NCLEX-RN examination results received in July 2007, which included both annual (7/01/2006 - 6/30/2007) and most recent quarterly results (4/01/2007-6/30/2007). Assessment results focused on the annual pass rate for first-time NCLEX-RN takers.

II.	Based o	n the outcomes outlined in the program proposal document, did students meet
		ions of the learning outcomes of that program?
		1 0
	$\overline{\boxtimes}$ N	
	Perce	ntage of students meeting outcomes:
	a.	65% scored at the 90 percent probability of NCLEX-RN success on the first attempt (Expected student outcome was 75%).
	b.	79% (Expected student outcome was 85%)

III. What areas of strength and weakness in students' achievement of the learning outcomes of the assessed program did assessment results show?

Strengths: For the standardized ATI RN Comprehensive Predictor, there was improvement in the number of students reaching the benchmark in each subsequent semester - F06 = 59%, W07/Sp07 = 70%. It appears the more ATI tests that students are exposed to prior to the RN Comp Predictor, the better their performance on this final test. Since the implementation date of ATI testing was Fall 2006, F06 graduates were only required to take two (2) ATI tests, W07 and Sp07 were required to take four (4) tests before the RN Comp Predictor.

Weaknesses: Will not be able to evaluate the full extent of standardized ATI testing until the graduating class W08 (ATI testing program was piloted with W06 admit class). This class will be required to take all ten (10) ATI tests before the RN Comprehensive Predictor.

For the RN Comprehensive Predictor, the following content areas consistently scored low in all three graduating classes:

- Community Health Nursing
- Medical-Surgical Nursing (subtopics: fluid/electrolytes, lymph/immune/infection, neurosensory, urinary

# Changes influenced by assessment results

If weaknesses were found (see III above) or students did not meet expectations, what action will be taken to address this?

Ongoing implementation of ATI testing across the curriculum.

- Identify any other intended changes that will be instituted based on results of this assessment activity (check all that apply). Describe changes and give rationale for change.
  - Curriculum: Adopted a supervised lab practice model for all clinical courses. Each clinical course contains lab hours for validation of previous skills and acquisition of additional new nursing skills.
  - Course sequencing: the new 4-semester nursing course sequence began Fall 2007

- Course or master syllabi: ALL with increased emphasis on content as reflected in ATI materials and the 2007 NCLEX-RN Test Plan.
  - NUR 100 and NUR 102/103 All ATI content for the Fundamentals of Nursing module will now be taught in the 2<sup>nd</sup> semester under Intro to Nursing and Fundamentals of Nursing courses.
  - 2. NUR 123 added focus on materials/topics provided with ATI med-surg portion of study aids; added nursing management case studies on most common disease states encountered in med-surg nursing (i.e. CHF, ARF, DM, fluid imbalances, etc.)
  - 3. NUR 131 more emphasis on fetal development, family development, and birth control.
  - 4. NUR 132 additional review in lab on: sterile technique, cultural considerations, prenatal testing, and newborn CPR.
  - 5. NUR 223 more emphasis on infectious sexually transmitted diseases & adult immunizations; and immune and infectious diseases. New content added: bioterrorism; a special unit for end-of-life symptoms that shows specific problems in major systems, like GI, as patients die. Deleted content: hemorrhoids and rectal fissures, as these are not covered on ATI.
  - 6. NUR 231 more emphasis on autism, muscular dystrophy, childhood burn injuries; dropped topics of retinopathy of prematurity (ROP), respiratory distress syndrome.
  - 7. NUR 255 ATI results indicated that students scored lower on particular content; specifically therapeutic modalities and legal issues. Therefore, more time is spent on this content now and emphasized throughout the course. Also, test questions re: these subjects were added to the 4 unit tests.
  - 8. NUR 261 Changed the course outcomes which includes advanced topics in medical/surgical nursing based on ATI RN Comp Predictor (see faculty meeting minutes of 5/16/07) to add emphasis on the areas of fluid/electrolytes, lymph/immune/infection, neurosensory, urinary.
- Teaching methods: Use of ATI modules and DVDs for study questions, exploration of online Learning
- Other: Require the ATI Community Health Nursing test at the end of the program.

#### **Plans**

I. Was the assessment tool used effective in measuring student achievement of learning objectives for this program? If not, why? Although, the results for the ATI RN Comprehensive Predictor are broken down into specific

content areas to determine the need for course-level curricular changes for theory courses (cognitive learning), a comprehensive, psychomotor program assessment is lacking.

II. If the assessment tool was not effective, what changes will be made in future assessments? Investigate use of a comprehensive nursing skills check-out upon completion of the program.

Submitted by:

Name: Slovie a Velande Date: 11/27/07

Department Chair: Slovie a Velande Date: 11/27/07

Dean: A Slovie Delande Date: 12/3/07

Please return completed form to the Office of Curriculum & Assessment, SC 247.

I.	Program:         Program name: APNURS - Associate in Applied Science - RN Program         Division: HAT       Department: NHSD         Type of Award: A.A.       A.S.         Adv. Cert.       Post-Assoc. Cert.         Cert. of Completion
II.	Semester assessment was administered (check one):  [ Fall 20     Winter 20     Spring/Summer 2006
III.	Assessment tool(s) used (check all that apply):  Please attach a copy of the tool(s) and scoring rubric(s) used.  Portfolio  Standardized test  Other external certification/licensure exam (please describe): NCLEX-RN  Graduate Survey  Employer Survey  Advisory Committee Survey  Transfer follow-up  Externally evaluated performance or exhibit  Externally evaluation of job performance (internship, co-op, placement, other)  Capstone experience (please describe):  Other (please describe):  Have any of these tools been used before?  Yes (if yes, identify which tool:)  No
	If yes, has this tool been altered since its last administration? If so, briefly describe changes made. No
IV.	Please describe how and in which course sections (if applicable) this assessment was administered. Following completion of all APNURS program requirements
V.	How many students were assessed? 106
VI.	What student population was assessed (e.g. graduating students, alumni, entering students, continuing students)?  Graduates
Resu	lts Bid la

I. Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected for the program assessed, demonstrating to what extent students are achieving the learning outcomes for that program as found in the program proposal/approval form (please attach). Please attach any data collected.

Data were based on quarterly results of NCLEX-RN examination results received in July 2006, which induded both annual (7/01/2005 - 6/30/2006) and most recent quarterly results (4/01/2006-6/30/2006). Assessment results focused on the annual pass rate for first-time NCLEX-RN takers.

II.	Based on the outcomes outlined in the program proposal document, did students meet expectations of the learning outcomes of that program?  Yes
	No     Percentage of students meeting outcomes: 80.2% (Expected student outcome was 85%)
III.	W hat areas of strength and weakness in students' achievement of the learning outcomes of the assessed program did assessment results show?  Strengths: It is a compilation of knowledge content and retention of all NUR courses.
grad	Weaknesses: It does not identify specific content areas that were shown to be deficient in the uate group.
I.	If weaknesses were found (see III above) or students did not meet expectations, what action will be taken to address this?  Implementation of standardized testing across the curriculum starting Fall 2006 for all ent nursing students.
II.	Identify any other intended changes that will be instituted based on results of this assessment activity (check all that apply). Describe changes and give rationale for change.  Curriculum:  Course sequencing: Effective Fall 2007, nursing courses will be covered over four (4) semesters
attem	instead of five (5).  Course or master syllabi: Teaching methods: Other: Change in program requirements - effective Fall 2006, additional requirements will de: 1) completion of BIO 111 Anatomy & Physiology with a grade of B- or higher within two (2) upts. 2) completion of HSC 101 Healthcare Terminology with a grade of B- or higher 3) current fied Nurse Aide (CNA) certification in the State of Michigan.
Plans I.	Was the assessment tool used effective in measuring student achievement of learning objectives for this program? If not, why?  No. Results are not broken down into specific content areas to determine course-level curricular changes.
II.	If the assessment tool was not effective, what changes will be made in future assessments? Standardized testing (i.e. ATI's Comprehensive Assessment & Review Program) was introduced to Winter 2006 admits and adopted program-wide for Fall 2006. This formative evaluation will provide the NHSD with diagnostic profiles which will identify students' areas of content deficiencies.
Subm	nitted by:
Name	: Bloria a Velande Date: 9/22/06
Depai	etment Chair: Glori Abeliche Date: 9/22/06  Date: 9/22/06
Dean:	Ste 10. Date: 9/12/06
Pleas	e return completed form to the Office of Curriculum & Assessment, SC 247.

Office of Curriculum & Assessment