I.]	Background Information
1.	Course assessed:
	Course Discipline Code and Number: INP 153
	Course Title: Designing User Experience I
	Division/Department Codes: BCT
2.	Semester assessment was conducted (check one):
	☐ Fall 20
	Winter 2009
	Spring/Summer 20
3.	Assessment tool(s) used: check all that apply.
	Portfolio
	Standardized test
	Other external certification/licensure exam (specify):
	Survey
	Prompt
	Departmental exam
	Capstone experience (specify):
	Other (specify): Assessment rubric for deliverables
4.	Have these tools been used before?
	Yes
	⊠ No
	If yes, have the tools been altered since its last administration? If so, briefly describe changes made.
5.	Indicate the number of students assessed/total number of students enrolled in the course.
	There were a total of 34 students across the two sections that were taking the class for credit. Fifteen students (fifteen deliverables; each student worked on their own deliverable separately) were selected.
6.	Describe how students were selected for the assessment.
	Delicentia management in the state of the st
	Deliverables were randomly selected.
ΪΙ.	Results
	Briefly describe the changes that were implemented in the course as a result of the previous assessment.
	Analysis / data from the previous assessment was not available.
2.	List each outcome that was assessed for this report exactly as it is stated on the course master syllabus.
	Identify user needs, goals and trends on the Web that relate to labeling, navigation, content, and site structure and flow.

Recognize and apply the principles of user-centered design for the Web.

3. Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected during the course assessment, demonstrating the extent to which students are achieving each of the learning outcomes listed above. *Please attach a summary of the data collected*.

Students are performing well in achieving the learning outcomes. No problem areas were identified.

4. For each outcome assessed, indicate the standard of success used, and the percentage of students who achieved that level of success. *Please attach the rubric/scoring guide used for the assessment.*

The deliverable evaluated (an Interface Redesign) addresses both outcomes.

A score of 80% (2.4 out of 3 on the rubric) or higher was the threshold of success at both the student level and the individual rubric question level.

All students met the success criteria, with averages ranging from 2.5 (83%) to 2.92 (97%).

All rubric questions also had scores that met the success criteria, with averages on the individual questions ranging from 2.4 (80%) to 3 (100%).

5. Describe the areas of strength and weakness in students' achievement of the learning outcomes shown in assessment results.

Strengths: All rubric questions and student averages were in the target range for successfully meeting outcomes.

Weaknesses: None of the individual rubric items and none of the student averages dropped below the threshold of acceptable performance, so no weaknesses were identified.

III. Changes influenced by assessment results

1. If weaknesses were found (see above) or students did not meet expectations, describe the action that will be taken to address these weaknesses.

	taken to address these weaknesses.
	N/A
2.	Identify intended changes that will be instituted based on results of this assessment activity (check all that apply). Please describe changes and give rationale for change.
	a. Outcomes/Assessments on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:
	b. Objectives/Evaluation on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:
	c. Course pre-requisites on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:
	d.
	e. Course assignments Change/rationale:
	f. Course materials (check all that apply) Textbook Handouts Other:
	g.
	h. Individual lessons & activities

Change/rationale:

3.	What is the timeline for implementing these actions?
	N/A

IV. Future plans

1. Describe the extent to which the assessment tools used were effective in measuring student achievement of learning outcomes for this course.

The rubric was effective. The expert evaluator had no difficulty with the rubric and did not have any suggested changes for the tool.

2. If the assessment tools were not effective, describe the changes that will be made for future assessments.

N/A

3.	Which outcomes from the master sylla All X_ Selected	ibus have been addresse	ed in this report?	
	If "All", provide the report date for the If "Selected", provide the report date f			
Sub	bmitted by:	-		
Pri	int: Jason withou s Faculty/Preparer	Signature Jason	withou	Date: 11/3/09
Pri	int: Jason Withrow S Department Chair	Signature	within	Date: 11/3/09
Prii		Signature Joseph	with lon	Date: (13/09

logged 11/17/09 st ...
Approved by the Assessment Committee 11//08

Back	ground Information	
I.	Course assessed: Course Discipline Code and Nun	nber: <u>INP 153</u>
	Course Title: Designing User Ex	perience I
	Division Code: <u>BCT</u>	Department Code: <u>INPD</u>
II.	Semester assessment was admin	istered (check one):
	x Fall 2005_ Winter 20 Spring/Summer 20	
III.	Assessment tool used (check one Please attach a copy of the tool a	e): and scoring rubric used.
	Survey Prompt Departmental exam	describe):
	Has this tool been used before? Yes x No	
	If yes, has this tool been altered sin	ce its last administration? If so, briefly describe changes made.
	-	
IV.	Please list the section(s) in which th	nis tool was administered:
	_INP 15301	
	<u>INP 153</u> <u>02</u>	
v.	How many students were assessed:	0_10

Kesu	
I.	Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected for the course assessed, demonstrating to what extent students are achieving the learning outcomes as found in the master syllabus (see attached). Please attach any data collected.
	100% of students scored higher than 76% on learning outcomes for the class based on the rubric.
II.	Based on the outcomes outlined in the master syllabus for the course assessed, did students meet expectations of the learning outcomes of that course? x Yes No
	Percentage of students meeting outcomes:100_%
III.	What areas of strength and weakness in students' achievement of the learning outcomes of the assessed course (as stated in the master syllabus) did assessment results show?
comp creati	Strengths: Students do very well when we look at data consolidation skills, writing style, orehensive and detailed analysis, being able to create organized and well explained arguments and ing salient and thoughtful solutions.
prese	Weaknesses: Only about ½ the students created professional diagrams. There were a few intation formatting issues.

Changes influenced by assessment results
I. If weaknesses were found (see III above) or students did not meet expectations, what action will be taken to address this?
We will give students more time to learn how to create professional level diagrams by doing not just
one but two in-class activities that have them create the diagrams. We will also reiterate where the formatting
sample is for them to copy and our expectation of professional level work.
II. Identify any other intended changes that will be instituted based on results of this assessment activity (check all that apply). Please describe changes and give rationale for change.
(check all that apply). Please describe changes and give fationale for change.
Master syllabus Description and rationale:
Curriculum Description and rationale:
Course syllabus Description and rationale:
Description and rationale
x Course assignments Description and rationale: Move the diagramming practice to an earlier point in the class and have them do an extra in-class assignment using diagrams to solidify their learning.
Course materials (check all that apply) Textbook Handouts
Other:
Description and rationale:
Teaching methodology Description and rationale:
X Other: _We will post the formatting sample in a more visible place in the course and keep directing students to the location.
Description and rationale: Even though there already is a formatting sample available, students aren't following it. We will move the sample to a more obvious position in the course and restate the need for the students to follow it completely.

,	Was the assessment tool used effective in measuring student achievement of learning objectives for t
Č	course? If not, why?
	Yes
	165
1	If the assessment tool was not effective, what changes will be made in future assessments?
	·

Submitted by:

Name: Muly

Department Chair: _

Date: 3/7/06

Date: 3/8/06

Date: 3/22/06

Please return completed form to the Office of Curriculum & Assessment, SC 247.