COURSE ASSESSMENT REPORT

I.	Background	Information
----	-------------------	-------------

1. Course assessed: Course Discipline Code and Number: ENG 027 Course Title: Low Intermediate ESL Reading I

	Division/Department Codes: 11300
2.	Semester assessment was conducted (check one): Fall 20_ Winter 2009 Spring/Summer 20_
3.	Assessment tool(s) used: check all that apply. Portfolio Standardized test Other external certification/licensure exam (specify): Survey Prompt Departmental exam Capstone experience (specify): Other (specify):
4.	Have these tools been used before?

If yes, have the tools been altered since its last administration? If so, briefly describe changes made.

- 5. Indicate the number of students assessed/total number of students enrolled in the course. 4/4
- 6. Describe how students were selected for the assessment. All students enrolled in the course were assessed.

II. Results

⊠ No

- 1. Briefly describe the changes that were implemented in the course as a result of the previous assessment. The course was not previously assessed. However, more emphasis was placed on the first 1500 words rather than the first 2000 based on what I learned during my sabbatical Winter 2007.
- 2. List each outcome that was assessed for this report exactly as it is stated on the course master syllabus.
 - 1. Students will improve their level of reading comprehension by at least one half level.
 - 2. Students will demonstrate 60% mastery of the 2000 word list.
- 3. Briefly describe assessment results based on data collected during the course assessment, demonstrating the extent to which students are achieving each of the learning outcomes listed above. Please attach a summary of the data collected.
 - 1. Four of four (100%) students who completed ENG 027 improved by at least ½ level. This meets the goal.
 - 2. All four (100%) of the students who completed ENG 027 scored at least 60% on the vocabulary test. This meets the goal.
- For each outcome assessed, indicate the standard of success used, and the percentage of students who achieved that level of success. Please attach the rubric/scoring guide used for the assessment.

The standard of success was identified as: Students should score at least 60% on the items being assessed. Outcome #1: Of the four students who completed ENG 027, four (100%) improved by at least ½ level. This meets the standard of success.

Outcome #2: All four (100%) of the students who completed ENG 027 had at least 60% on the vocabulary test. This meets the standard of success.

COURSE ASSESSMENT REPORT

5.	Describe the areas of strength and weakness in students' achievement of the learning outcomes snown in assessment results.
	Strengths: The results of both the vocabulary and the reading comprehension tests demonstrate that all of
	the students significantly improved in these areas.
	Weaknesses:
	weaknesses:
III. 1	Changes influenced by assessment results If weaknesses were found (see above) or students did not meet expectations, describe the action that will be
1.	taken to address these weaknesses.
2.	Identify intended changes that will be instituted based on results of this assessment activity (check all that
۷.	apply). Please describe changes and give rationale for change.
	a. Outcomes/Assessments on the Master Syllabus
	Change/rationale:
	b. Objectives/Evaluation on the Master Syllabus
	Change/rationale:
	c. Course pre-requisites on the Master Syllabus Change/rationale:
	Change/rationale.
	d. 🔲 1 st Day Handouts
	Change/rationale:
	e. Course assignments
	Change/rationale:
	f. Course materials (check all that apply)
	Textbook
	Handouts
	Other:
	g. Instructional methods
	Change/rationale:
	h. Individual lessons & activities Change/rationale:
	Change/rationale.
3.	What is the timeline for implementing these actions?
IV.	Future plans
1.	Describe the extent to which the assessment tools used were effective in measuring student achievement of
	learning outcomes for this course.
	These tools were effective and correlated well with other measures of success in the course (i.e. midterm and final exams).
2.	If the assessment tools were not effective, describe the changes that will be made for future assessments.
3.	Which outcomes from the master syllabus have been addressed in this report?
э.	AllX_ Selected
	If "All", provide the report date for the next full review: Winter 2012.
	If "Selected", provide the report date for remaining outcomes:

WASHTENAW COMMUNITY COLLEGE

COURSE ASSESSMENT REPORT

Submitted by:

Print: Margo W. Czinski Faculty/Preparer

Print: Carrie Krantz

Department Chair

Print:_Bill Abernethy
Dean/Administrator

Signature

Signature

Date: AUG 1 3 2009